## Willington Public Schools SBAC Results <br> 2016-2017

Willington- Smarter Balanced Language Arts in percentage of students at level 3 or above

| Grade | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 49 | 51 | 46 |
| 4 | 39 | 53 | 45 |
| 5 | 70 | 50 | 70 |
| 6 | 61 | 65 | 61 |
| 7 | 59 | 57 | 60 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{5 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5}$ | 52 |
| Average | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 2}$ |  |
| State |  |  |  |

## Cohort Analysis in Language Arts

 in percentage of students at level 3 or above| Current <br> Grade | \# of <br> students | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 35 | -- | -- | 46 |
| 4 | 56 | -- | 51 | 45 |
| 5 | 50 | 49 | 53 | 70 |
| 6 | 38 | 39 | 50 | 61 |
| 7 | 47 | 45 | 57 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 48 |  | 52 |  |

## Language Arts 2016-2017 <br> In percentage of students at level 3 or higher

| Town | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willington | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| Ashford | -- | -- | 62 | 80 | 40 | -- |
| Brooklyn | 50 | 63 | 68 | 77 | 54 | 68 |
| Lebanon | 69 | 59 | 85 | 63 | -- | 53 |
| Woodstock | 67 | 68 | 59 | 64 | 63 | 58 |
| Coventry | 70 | 71 | 79 | 70 | 74 | 76 |
| DRG Avg.* | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| Mansfield | 74 | 82 | 73 | 69 | 81 | 68 |

*DRG average only contains towns that are in the surrounding area that had enough scores reported for comparison. Willington is not included in the scores that were averaged. Mansfield is not in our DRG.

## Willington- Smarter Balanced Math

In percentage of students at level 3 or above

| Grade | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 61 | 53 | 51 |
| 4 | 47 | 42 | 61 |
| 5 | 45 | 32 | 44 |
| 6 | 23 | 51 | 47 |
| 7 | 46 | 43 | 55 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 8}$ | 64 | 50 |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3}$ |
| State | $\mathbf{4 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6}$ |  |

## Cohort Analysis in Math

In percentage of students at level 3 or above

| Grade | \# of students | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 35 | -- | -- | 51 |
| 4 | 56 | -- | 53 | 61 |
| 5 | 50 | 61 | 42 | 44 |
| 6 | 38 | 47 | 32 | 47 |
| 7 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 55 |
| 8 | 23 |  | 50 |  |

## Math 2016-2017

In percentage of students at level 3 or higher

| Town | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willington | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Ashford | 60 | -- | 31 | -- | 38 | 42 |
| Brooklyn | -- | -- | 34 | 52 | 39 | 39 |
| Lebanon | 73 | 46 | 61 | 52 | 53 | 39 |
| Woodstock | -- | -- | 27 | 45 | 40 | 30 |
| Coventry | 67 | 73 | 66 | 49 | 56 | 70 |
| DRG Avg.* | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| Mansfield | 78 | 82 | 68 | 56 | 78 | 59 |

*DRG average only contains towns that are in the surrounding area that had enough scores reported for comparison. Willington is not included in the scores that were averaged. Mansfield is not in our DRG.

## The Connecticut Growth Model: A New Way of Monitoring Student Progress

Purpose: to identify the average percentage of student growth based upon the each students scale score growth from year to year.

| Grade | Student | 2015-2016 <br> Scale Score | Target <br> Growth | 2016-2017 <br> Scale Score | Actual <br> Growth | Average <br> percent of <br> Target <br> Achieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Student A | 2420 | 69 | 2470 | 50 | $72 \%$ |
| 4 | Student B | 2131 | 82 | 2210 | 79 | $96 \%$ |
| 4 | Student C | 2540 | 49 | 2545 | 5 | $10 \%$ |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |

## ELA Achievement Level Ranges and Growth Targets

| Grade in Yr. 1 | Level | Level 1: Not Met$\text { 1-LOW } \quad 2 \text {-HIGH }$ |  | Level 2: Approaching3-LOW 4-HIGH |  | Level $5 \text {-LOW }$ | Met 6 - HIGH | Level 4: Exceeded |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2114-2330 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2331-2366 \\ 71 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2367-2399 \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2400-2431 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2432-2460 \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2461-2489 \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2490-2522 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2523+ \\ 45 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Range <br> Target | $\begin{gathered} 2131-2378 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2379-2415 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2416-2444 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2445-2472 \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2473-2502 \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2503-2532 \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2533-2568 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2569+ \\ 34 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2201-2405 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2406-2441 \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2442-2471 \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2472-2501 \\ 48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2502-2541 \\ 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2542-2581 \\ 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2582-2619 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2620+ \\ 16 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2210-2417 \\ 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2418-2456 \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | $2457-2493$ <br> 53 | $\begin{gathered} 2494-2530 \\ 47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2531-2574 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2575-2617 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2618-2656 \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2657+ \\ 21 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | Range <br> Target | $\begin{gathered} 2258-2438 \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2439-2478 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2479-2515 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2516-2551 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2552-2600 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2601-2648 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2649-2687 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2688+ \\ 12 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | Range | 2288-2446 | 2447-2486 | 2487-2526 | 2527-2566 | 2567-2617 | 2618-2667 | 2668-2703 | 2704+ |

Source: Connecticut State Department of Education

## Math Achievement Level Ranges and Growth Targets

| Grade in Yr. 1 | Level | Level 1: Not Met1-LOW 2-HIGH |  | Level 2: Approaching$\text { 3-LOW } 4 \text {-HIGH }$ |  | Level 3: Met$5 \text { - LOW } 6 \text { - HIGH }$ |  | Level 4: $7 \text { - LOW }$ | xceeded <br> 8-HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2189-2351 \\ 77 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2352-2380 \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2381-2408 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2409-2435 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2436-2468 \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2469-2500 \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2501-2526 \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2527+ \\ 47 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2204-2381 \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2382-2410 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2411-2447 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2448-2484 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2485-2516 \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2517-2548 \\ 47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2549-2574 \\ 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2575+ \\ 37 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2219-2419 \\ 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2420-2454 \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2455-2491 \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2492-2527 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2528-2553 \\ 42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2554-2578 \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2579-2605 \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2606+ \\ 44 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | Range Target | $\begin{gathered} 2235-2434 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2435-2472 \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2473-2512 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2513-2551 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2552-2580 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2581-2609 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2610-2639 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $2640+$ <br> 31/maintain |
| 7 | Range Target | 2250-2438 <br> 58 | $\begin{gathered} 2439-2483 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2484-2525 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2526-2566 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2567-2600 \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2601-2634 \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2635-2664 \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2665+ \\ 35 / \text { maintain } \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | Range | 2265-2456 | 2457-2503 | 2504-2544 | 2545-2585 | 2586-2619 | 2620-2652 | 2653-2685 | 2686+ |

Source: Connecticut State Department of Education

## Language Arts 2016-2017

In percentage of target achieved by all students

| Town | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willington | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |
| Ashford | 56 | 58 | 81 | 47 | 48 |
| Brooklyn | 77 | 49 | 85 | 35 | 53 |
| Lebanon | 57 | 78 | 32 | 35 | 36 |
| Woodstock | 52 | 35 | 47 | 58 | 35 |
| Coventry | 84 | 65 | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| DRG Avg.* | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 58 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| Mansfield | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ |
| State Average |  |  |  |  |  |

## Math 2016-2017

In percentage of target achieved by all students

| Town | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willington | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| Ashford | 30 | 22 | 69 | 84 | 44 |
| Brooklyn | 80 | 54 | 86 | 37 | 58 |
| Lebanon | 66 | 65 | 44 | 54 | 59 |
| Woodstock | 33 | 38 | 63 | 72 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
| Coventry | 94 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |
| DRG Avg.* | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Mansfield | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 51 |  |  |
| State Average | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |  |  |  |

## HALL MEMORIAL SCHOOL

## Priorities

## Action Step(s)

- Bi-weekly vertical data team meetings across all grade levels in ELA and math.
Alignment of curriculum

Targeted instruction for all students

High quality professional development

- Bi-weekly professional development blocks focused on effective instructional practices and differentiation.
- New HMS schedule with more opportunity for targeted instruction.
- Increase team teaching opportunities and cross grade-level planning through new schedule.
- Curriculum audit in math and ELA during the 2017-2018 school year.
- New SRBI plan.
- New advisory curriculum for all students.
- Accelerated Reader across all grade-levels in Language Arts.
- Accelerated Math and other online learning platforms used more in math.


## CENTER SCHOOL

## Priorities

## Action Step(s)

- Implementation of Math Talks: meaningful class conversations to practice computation while explaining answers and justifying thinking

Alignment of curriculum

Targeted instruction for all students

High quality professional development

Curriculum updates in math and language arts that aligns to standards and is research based

- Continued emphasis on nonfiction reading
- Professional development focused on teaching new instructional units
- Updated SRBI plan to improve student intervention process
- Grade level data team meetings in ELA and math to develop flexible groupings to maximize individual student growth
- Teacher goals directly aligned to student outcomes on SBAC and STAR
- Administrator goals directly aligned to student outcomes on SBAC and STAR
- Implementation of Symphony Math to simulate SBAC type questions
- Ongoing exposure to SBAC type questions through curriculum

